

## · 临床研究 ·

# 比伐芦定在冠心病合并终末期肾病患者行经皮冠状动脉介入治疗术中的抗凝效果

任浩进, 黄莉芳, 王丽岳\*

(武汉市普仁医院心血管内科, 武汉 430081)

**【摘要】目的** 回顾性分析比伐芦定与肝素用于冠心病(CHD)合并终末期肾病(ESRD)患者经皮冠状动脉介入治疗(PCI)术中的抗凝效果。**方法** 选择2015年10月至2018年12月在武汉市普仁医院接受PCI治疗的62例CHD合并ESRD患者为研究对象,根据术中给予抗凝药物的不同,将患者分为对照组(肝素)29例和观察组(比伐芦定)33例。比较2组住院期间造影剂肾病(CIN),术后30d及1年净临床不良事件(NACE)、主要不良心脑血管事件(MACCE)及全部出血事件发生情况;观察2组MACCE分项指标的差异。采用SPSS 25.0统计软件对数据进行分析,根据数据类型,分别采用t检验或 $\chi^2$ 检验。**结果** 住院期间2组各发生CIN 3例,差异无统计学意义( $P>0.05$ )。术后30d,观察组NACE与全部出血事件发生率均低于对照组,差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ );2组MACCE发生率比较,差异无统计学意义( $P>0.05$ )。术后1年,观察组NACE发生率低于对照组,差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ );2组MACCE、全部出血事件发生率差异无统计学意义( $P>0.05$ )。**结论** CHD合并ESRD患者行PCI治疗,与肝素相比,比伐芦定能显著减少术后30d NACE及全部出血事件,抗凝效果良好,且NACE减少优势持续至术后1年。比伐芦定更适合CHD合并ESRD患者PCI术中抗凝。

**【关键词】** 冠心病; 终末期肾病; 肾小球滤过率; 比伐芦定; 肝素

**【中图分类号】** R541.4

**【文献标志码】** A

**【DOI】** 10.11915/j.issn.1671-5403.2021.06.092

## Anticoagulant effect of bivalirudin in patients with coronary heart disease and end-stage renal disease during percutaneous coronary intervention

REN Hao-Jin, HUANG Li-Fang, WANG Li-Yue\*

(Department of Cardiology, Wuhan Puren Hospital, Wuhan 430081, China)

**【Abstract】 Objective** To retrospectively analyze anticoagulant effect of bivalirudin and heparin in the patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) during percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). **Methods** A total of 62 patients with CHD complicated with ESRD were selected for the study, who received PCI treatment in Wuhan Puren Hospital from October 2015 to December 2018. According to the different anticoagulants administered during operation, they were divided into control group (heparin,  $n=29$ ) and observation group (bivalirudin,  $n=33$ ). The two groups were compared in contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) during hospitalization, net adverse clinical events (NACE) and major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) in 30 days and 1 year after operation. The difference of MACCE sub-indexes between the two groups was observed. SPSS statistics 25.0 was used for data analysis, and t-test or Chi-square test was used for comparison between groups. **Results** During hospitalization, 3 patients developed CIN in both groups without significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ). At 30 days after the operation, NACE and all bleeding events in the observation group were lower than those in the control group, and the differences were significant ( $P<0.05$ ). There was no significant difference in MACCE between the two groups ( $P>0.05$ ). At postoperative 1 year, NACE was significantly lower in the observation group than the control group ( $P<0.05$ ), and there was no significant difference in MACCE and all bleeding events between the two groups ( $P>0.05$ ). **Conclusion** Bivalirudin reduces the NACE and all bleeding events more significantly than heparin within 30 days after PCI in CHD patients with ESRD, showing better anticoagulant effect. The advantage of risk reduction of NACE may last till 1 year after PCI. Bivalirudin is more suitable for anticoagulation in patients with CHD and ESRD during PCI.

**【Key words】** coronary heart disease; end-stage renal disease; glomerular filtration rate; bivalirudin; heparin

**Corresponding author:** WANG Li-Yue, E-mail: l453427820@163.com.

冠心病(coronary heart disease, CHD)合并终末期肾病(end-stage renal disease, ESRD)患者全因死

亡风险高达45%。数据显示,在过去25年里美国ESRD患者增长了8倍之多,同时介入导管室接受

治疗的 CHD 合并 ESRD 患者也明显增长<sup>[1]</sup>。经皮冠状动脉介入治疗( percutaneous coronary intervention, PCI) 可用于开通此类患者罪犯血管, 缓解患者心肌缺血症状, 改善预后。目前 PCI 术中使用最多的抗凝药物为肝素或比伐芦定, 肝素清除不依赖肾脏, 但可能会导致出血风险增加, 影响预后; 以低出血风险优势著称的直接凝血酶抑制剂比伐芦定清除却部分依赖肾脏, 用于 ESRD 患者是否会因为药物蓄积影响临床结局? 关于肝素与比伐芦定用于 PCI 术中抗凝的临床研究基本都排除肾小球滤过率( glomerular filtration rate, GFR) < 30 mL/(min · 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) 患者。本研究回顾性分析比伐芦定与肝素用于 CHD 合并 ESRD 患者 PCI 术中抗凝的效果, 以期为临床实践操作提供参考。

## 1 对象与方法

### 1.1 研究对象

回顾性分析 2015 年 10 月至 2018 年 12 月武汉市普仁医院收治的 CHD 合并 ESRD 行 PCI 治疗的 62 例患者的临床资料。年龄 (67.94 ± 9.81) 岁, 其中男性 36 例, 女性 26 例。纳入标准:(1) CHD 诊断符合 2015 年欧洲心脏病学会(European Society of Cardiology, ESC) 非 ST 段抬高急性冠脉综合征管理指南;(2) 以简化的肾脏病饮食调整方程(modification of diet in renal disease, MERD) 计算 GFR < 15 mL/(min · 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) 且下降超过 3 个月。排除标准:(1) SYNTAX ( synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery) 评分 > 32 分;(2) 左主干病狭窄 > 50%;(3) 近期有出血史, 包括腹膜、消化道或近期有外科手术史等;(4) 随访资料不完全, 死亡除外。

### 1.2 方法

根据患者 PCI 术中使用抗凝药物不同, 将其分为对照组和观察组。对照组 29 例, 以 75 U/kg 静脉推注普通肝素, 5 min 后监测活化凝血酶时间(activated clotting time, ACT), 若小于 225 s, 追加肝素 25 U/kg, 使 ACT 维持在 250~300 s。

观察组 33 例, 术前 5 min 以 0.75 mg/kg 快速静脉推注比伐芦定, 推注完后立刻以 0.25 mg/(kg · h) 进行静脉泵入, 并维持静脉泵入至术后 3~4 h。推注给药 5 min 后, 检测 ACT, 若小于 225 s, 以 0.3 mg/kg 追加静脉推注剂量至 ACT 达标。所有患者 PCI 术前 24 h 及术后 48 h 内行常规血液透析, 术前 6 h 给予水化治疗: 0.9% NaCl 以 1 mL/(kg · h) 静脉滴注至术

后 6 h, 常规给予 300 mg 阿司匹林 + 300 mg 氯吡格雷抗血小板治疗, 术中使用非离子等渗型对比剂碘克沙醇注射液。

### 1.3 观察指标

住院期间造影剂肾病( contrast-induced nephropathy, CIN); 术后 30 d 及 1 年净临床不良事件( net adverse clinical events, NACE), 主要心脑血管事件( major adverse cardiocerebral events, MACCE)、参照出血学术研究会( Bleeding Academic Research Consortium, BARC) 制定的出血分型标准诊断的 BARC 出血事件<sup>[2]</sup>。MACCE 包括: 全因死亡、心肌梗死( myocardial infarction, MI)、心力衰竭( heart failure, HF)、心律失常及卒中; CIN 指在 PCI 术后 72 h 内出现急性肾功能损伤, 在排除其他肾损伤因素的情况下, 血清肌酐值较基础值升高 25% 或增加 44.2 μmol/L。

### 1.4 统计学处理

采用 SPSS 25.0 统计软件进行处理。计量资料采用均数±标准差( $\bar{x} \pm s$ ) 表示, 组间比较采用 t 检验。计数资料以例数(百分率)表示, 组间比较采用  $\chi^2$  检验。P<0.05 为差异有统计学意义。

## 2 结果

### 2.1 2 组患者一般资料比较

2 组患者性别、年龄、体质量、透析时间、血脂异常、高血压、糖尿病、血管病变数、造影剂用量及平均手术时间等差异均无统计学意义, 具有可比性(P>0.05; 表 1)。

### 2.2 2 组患者住院期间及术后 30 d 主要观察指标比较

住院期间, 2 组患者分别发生 3 例 CIN, 差异无统计学意义(P>0.05)。术后 30 d, 2 组患者 MACCE 发生率比较, 差异无统计学意义(P>0.05); 观察组 NACE 与全部出血事件发生率低于对照组, 差异均有统计学意义(P<0.05; 表 2)。

### 2.3 2 组患者术后 1 年主要观察指标比较

术后 1 年, 观察组 NACE 发生率低于对照组, 差异有统计学意义(P<0.05); 2 组患者 MACCE 发生率与全部出血事件发生率比较, 差异均无统计学意义(P>0.05; 表 2)。

### 2.4 2 组患者术后 30 d 及 1 年 MACCE 分项指标比较

2 组患者术后 30 d 及 1 年 MACCE 分项指标全因死亡、心肌梗死、心力衰竭、心律失常和卒中比较, 差异均无统计学意义(P>0.05; 表 3)。

表1 2组患者一般资料比较

Table 1 Comparison of baseline date between two groups

(n=62)

Item	Control group (n=29)	Observation group (n=33)	t/χ <sup>2</sup>	P value
Gender(male/female, n)	17/12	19/14	0.007	0.934
Age( years, $\bar{x}\pm s$ )	68.14±11.53	67.75±8.17	0.151	0.880
Body mass( kg, $\bar{x}\pm s$ )	66.69±10.18	64.79±8.07	0.819	0.416
Hemodialysis time( years, $x\pm s$ )	3.47±1.30	3.35±1.05	0.393	0.696
Dyslipidemia[ n( % ) ]	11(37.93)	16(48.48)	0.699	0.403
Hypertension[ n( % ) ]	25(86.21)	25(75.76)	1.080	0.299
Diabetes mellitus[ n( % ) ]	11(37.93)	12(36.36)	0.016	0.899
Number of vascular lesions[ n( % ) ]			0.342	0.559
1	8(27.59)	7(21.21)		
≥2	21(72.41)	26(78.79)		
Contrast volume ( ml, $\bar{x}\pm s$ )	83.28±9.75	84.39±9.66	0.041	0.840
Average operation time( min, $\bar{x}\pm s$ )	58.76±13.49	57.39±12.49	0.189	0.665

表2 2组患者住院期间、术后30 d 及1年主要观察指标比较

Table 2 Comparison of main observation indexes during hospitalization, 30 days and 1 year after operation between two groups  
[ n( % ) ]

Group	n	Hospitalization		Postoperative 30 d			Postoperative 1 year		
		CIN	NACE	MACCE	All bleeding events	NACE	MACCE	All bleeding events	
Control	29	3(10.34)	15(51.72)	10(34.48)	11(37.93)	16(55.17)	12(41.38)	13(44.83)	
Observation	33	3(9.09)	9(27.27)	7(21.21)	5(15.15)	10(30.30)	8(24.24)	8(24.24)	
χ <sup>2</sup>		0.028	3.890	1.366	4.183	3.921	2.074	2.920	
P value		0.868	0.049	0.243	0.041	0.048	0.150	0.087	

CIN: contrast-induced nephropathy; NACE: net adverse clinical events; MACCE: major adverse cardiac or cerebrovascular events.

表3 2组患者术后30 d 及1年MACCE分项指标比较

Table 3 Comparison of MACCE sub indexes at 30 days and 1 year after operation between two groups [ n( % ) ]

Group	n	Postoperative 30 d					Postoperative 1 year				
		All cause death	MI	HF	arrhythmia	stroke	All cause death	MI	HF	arrhythmia	stroke
Control	29	2(6.90)	2(6.90)	5(17.24)	4(13.79)	1(3.45)	3(10.34)	2(6.90)	7(24.14)	6(20.69)	2(6.90)
Observation	33	2(6.06)	2(6.06)	3(9.09)	4(12.12)	1(3.03)	2(6.06)	3(9.09)	5(15.15)	6(18.18)	1(3.03)
χ <sup>2</sup>		0.018	0.018	0.912	0.038	0.009	0.382	0.100	0.799	0.062	0.501
P value		0.894	0.894	0.339	0.845	0.926	0.536	0.752	0.372	0.803	0.479

MACCE: major adverse cardioocerebrovascular events; MI: myocardial infarction; HF: heart failure.

### 3 讨论

研究显示,由于肾功能不全导致机体环境代谢紊乱,加速了ESRD患者的动脉粥样硬化进程,超过50%的ESRD患者合并CHD<sup>[2,3]</sup>。目前关于改善此类患者心肌缺血症状的方法,哪种获益更多学术界尚无定论,积极血运重建似乎优于药物保守治疗,患者获益更多且预后更好<sup>[4]</sup>。ESRD合并CHD患者并发症较多且血管钙化严重,采用心脏冠状动脉旁路移植术(coronary artery bypass graft, CABG)治疗时,围手术期并发症发生率较高<sup>[5]</sup>。近年来随着介入器械与技术的发展与进步,心内科介入医师积极

尝试通过PCI介入方式治疗此类患者,以减少围手术期并发症,并改善远期预后<sup>[6-8]</sup>。对于多支病变及无保护左主干病变,CABG治疗效果及远期预后更有优势<sup>[9]</sup>,但单支、双支等没有良好搭桥靶点的ESRD合并CHD患者,PCI是一种有利方法<sup>[10]</sup>。

ESRD是不可逆的严重肾功能不全,患者依赖透析治疗。使用药物治疗时,应首先关注药物肾脏毒性、代谢途径及是否增加肾脏负担等,以免造成严重后果,因此PCI围手术抗凝药物选择尤其重要。比伐芦定是一种人工合成的多肽类、直接凝血酶抑制剂,与凝血酶作用具有可逆性,在体内不与血浆蛋白结合形成药物蓄积作用,无肾脏毒性<sup>[11]</sup>。研究显

示比伐芦定出血风险显著低于肝素<sup>[12,13]</sup>,临床实践中多被推荐用于CRUSADE(Can Rapid risk stratification of Unstable angina patients Suppress Adverse outcomes with Early Implementation of the ACC/AHA guidelines)评分>30分的中高出血风险患者PCI术中抗凝<sup>[14]</sup>,以减少围手术期出血风险。ESRD患者体内尿毒素浓度增加使血小板功能受损、红细胞数量减少等因素所导致的机体凝血功能障碍,从CRUSADE评分来看,仅ESRD一项就占39分,为降低患者PCI围手术期及术后出血风险,比伐芦定成为此类患者抗凝的一种选择。比伐芦定在一定程度上依赖肾脏功能代谢,在GFR≥60 ml/(min·1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)患者体内,其药物清除率为3.4 ml/(min·kg),对应药物代谢半衰期为22~25 min;随着患者GFR下降,比伐芦定在体内清除减慢,半衰期延长;在依赖透析患者体内,其清除率下降至1.0 ml/(min·kg),对应半衰期延长至3.5 h,所以对于CHD合并ESRD患者,使用比伐芦定进行围手术期抗凝时需要调整用药剂量,以免血药浓度过高导致严重出血事件<sup>[15]</sup>。本研究中观察组使用比伐芦定维持滴注剂量为0.25 mg/(kg·h),研究结果显示,2组CIN发生率无显著差异,且与肝素相比,比伐芦定能减少30 d出血风险,与Washam等<sup>[1]</sup>的回顾性研究报道类似,说明比伐芦定并未导致肾脏毒性或因代谢异常致使出血风险的增加。

与肝素相比,比伐芦定显著减少30 d NACE及30 d全部出血事件,而30 d MACCE无显著差异,表明比伐芦定降低NACE可能源于其减少围手术期出血风险。1年随访结果显示,尽管比伐芦定显著减少NACE,但对MACCE、全部出血事件及各分项指标的改善却无统计学意义,结论与比伐芦定用于GFR>30 ml/(min·1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)的研究报道类似<sup>[16]</sup>。由于缺乏比伐芦定用于ESRD患者的随机对照研究,我们尚不能确定这种非显著差异是否与本研究样本量偏少有关。

综上所述,比伐芦定用于CHD合并ESRD患者PCI术中抗凝,能较好地平衡代谢与出血风险,增加患者临床获益,值得借鉴。

CHD合并ESRD患者人群较少,收集病例耗时较长,本研究采用回顾性分析,且病例数偏少,可能导致研究结论的偏倚。对CHD合并ESRD患者开展PCI救治,对临床意义重大。为弥补本研究样本量不足的问题,后期我们还会持续收集病例,并设计相应的试验性研究以评估比伐芦定的疗效与安全性,以期为临床实践提供更多借鉴。

## 【参考文献】

- [1] Washam JB, Kaltenbach LK, Wojdyla DM, et al. Anticoagulant use among patients with end-stage renal disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an analysis from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry[J]. Circ Cardiovasc Interv, 2018, 11(2): e005628. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.117.005628.
- [2] Surendra M, Raju S, Mukku KK, et al. Coronary angiography profile at the time of hemodialysis initiation in end-stage renal disease population: a retrospective analysis[J]. Indian J Nephrol, 2018, 28(5): 370–373. DOI: 10.4103/ijn.IJN\_271\_17.
- [3] McCullough PA. Evaluation and treatment of coronary artery disease in patients with end-stage renal disease[J]. Kidney Int Suppl, 2005, 67(95): S51–S58. DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.09508.x.
- [4] Charytan DM, Natwick T, Solid CA, et al. Comparative effectiveness of medical therapy, percutaneous revascularization, and surgical coronary revascularization in cardiovascular risk subgroups of patients with CKD: a retrospective cohort study of medicare beneficiaries[J]. Am J Kidney Dis, 2019, 74(4): 463–473. DOI: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2019.04.018.
- [5] Karkhanis R, Tam DY, Fremen SE. Management of patients with end-stage renal disease: coronary artery bypass graft surgery versus percutaneous coronary intervention[J]. Curr Opin Cardiol, 2018, 33(5): 546–550. DOI: 10.1097/HCO.0000000000000539.
- [6] Vavalle JP, van Diepen S, Clare RM, et al. Renal failure in patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: predictors, clinical and angiographic features, and outcomes[J]. Am Heart J, 2016, 173: 57–66. DOI: 10.1016/j.ahj.2015.12.001.
- [7] 同振娴,周玉杰,赵迎新,等.慢性肾衰竭透析患者经皮冠状动脉介入治疗的临床分析[J].心肺血管病杂志,2014,33(6): 787–790. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1007-5062.2014.06.009.
- [8] Yan ZX, Zhou YJ, Zhao YX, et al. Clinical analysis on percutaneous coronary intervention in dialysis patients with chronic renal failure[J]. J Cardiovasc Pulm Dis, 2014, 33(6): 787–790. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1007-5062.2014.06.009.
- [9] Wang Z, Gong YJ, Fan FF, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting vs. drug-eluting stent implantation in patients with end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis[J]. Ren Fail, 2020, 42(1): 107–112. DOI: 10.1080/0886022X.2019.1710187.
- [10] Wang X, Zhao JY, Wang HY. Three-year clinical outcome of unprotected left main coronary artery disease patients complicated with chronic kidney disease treated by coronary artery bypass graft versus percutaneous coronary intervention[J]. Ir J Med Sci, 2021, 190(1): 89–96. DOI: 10.1007/s11845-020-02257-9.
- [11] Kannan A, Poongkunran C, Medina R, et al. Coronary revascularization in chronic and end-stage renal disease: a systematic review and Meta-analysis[J]. Am J Ther, 2016, 23(1): 16–28. DOI: 10.1097/MJT.0000000000000089.

- [11] Zhang DM, Wang ZN, Zhao X, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, tolerability and safety of single doses of bivalirudin in healthy Chinese subjects [J]. Biol Pharm Bull, 2011, 34 (12): 1841–1848. DOI: 10.1248/bpb.34.1841.
- [12] Han Y, Guo J, Zheng Y, et al. Bivalirudin vs heparin with or without tirofiban during primary percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction: the BRIGHT randomized clinical trial [J]. JAMA, 2015, 313(13): 1336–1346. DOI: 10.1001/jama. 2015. 2323.
- [13] Valgimigli M, Frigoli E, Leonardi S, et al. Radial versus femoral access and bivalirudin versus unfractionated heparin in invasively managed patients with acute coronary syndrome (MATRIX): final 1-year results of a multicentre, randomised controlled trial [J]. Lancet, 2018, 392(10150): 835–848. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31714-8.
- [14] 中国医师协会心血管内科医师分会,中国医师协会心血管内科医师分会血栓防治专业委员会,中华医学会消化内镜学分会,等.急性冠状动脉综合征抗栓治疗合并出血防治多学科专家共识[J].中华内科杂志,2016,55(10): 813–824. DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.jssn.0578-1426. 2016. 10. 021.
- Cardiovascular Physicians Branch of Chinese Medical Doctor Association, Thrombus Prevention and Treatment Committee of Cardiovascular Physicians Branch of Chinese Medical Doctor Association, Digestive Endoscopy Branch of Chinese Medical Association, et al. Multidisciplinary expert consensus on antithrombotic therapy combined with bleeding prevention and treatment in acute coronary syndrome [J]. Chin J Intern Med, 2016, 55 (10): 813–824. DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.jssn.0578-1426. 2016. 10. 021.
- [15] Zaleski KL, DiNardo JA, Nasr VG. Bivalirudin for pediatric procedural anticoagulation: a narrative review [J]. Anesth Analg, 2019, 128(1): 43–55. DOI: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000002835.
- [16] Mehran R, Nikolsky E, Lansky AJ, et al. Impact of chronic kidney disease on early (30-day) and late (1-year) outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with alternative antithrombotic treatment strategies: an ACUITY (Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strateY) substudy [J]. JACC Cardiovasc Interv, 2009, 2 (8): 748–757. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin. 2009. 05. 018.

(编辑: 郑真真)

## 致“一带一路”沿线国家和地区医学机构

《中华老年多器官疾病杂志》是由中国工程院院士、老年心脏病学专家王士雯教授于2002年创办的全世界惟一一本以老年心脏病和老年心脏病合并其他器官疾病为主要内容的杂志,月刊,由中国人民解放军总医院老年心血管病研究所主办。杂志已被“中国科技论文统计源期刊”(中国科技核心期刊)收录。本杂志的摘要、图表和参考文献,均为中、英文双语对照,方便国外读者顺利阅读。为促进中国与“一带一路”沿线国家和地区的医学及文化交流,本刊将免费刊登其来稿,并赠送当期杂志。欢迎“一带一路”沿线国家和地区的老年心脏病和老年病学医生、学者踊跃投稿。

## To medical academic institutions of all countries along the Belt and Road

The Chinese Journal of Multiple Organ Diseases in the Elderly (Zhonghua Laonian Duoqiguan Jibing Zazhi) is founded in 2002 by Shiwen Wang, Member of Chinese Academy of Engineering, a renowned geriatric cardiologist in China. The journal is published monthly by the Institute of Geriatric Cardiology (IGC), Chinese PLA General Hospital in Beijing, China. The journal, the only one in the world currently, focuses on both basic research and clinical practice to the diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular disease in the aged people, especially those with concomitant disease of other major organ-systems, like the lungs, kidneys, liver, central nervous system, gastrointestinal tract or endocrinology, etc. The journal has been listed in the most authoritative Chinese database, the Chinese Scientific and Technical Papers and Citations Database (Chinese Core Sci-Tech Periodical). For convenience of foreign readers, the main parts of the paper, including abstract, tables, figures and references, are expressed in Chinese-English bilingually. To facilitate the cultural and academic communication between China and countries or regions along the Belt and Road, the journal welcomes the manuscripts from these areas. If reviewed qualified, the manuscript would be published without charging, and the authors would receive a complimentary copy of the current issue.

Address: Editorial Office, Chinese Journal of Multiple Organ Diseases in the Elderly, 28 Fuxing Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100853, China

Tel: 86-10-66936756; +86-13693039627

Fax: +86-10-66936756

E-mail: zhlnldgq@ mode301. cn

http://www. mode301. cn